



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                     |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>                        |
| Manuscript Number:       | 2013_AJEA_7145                                                                      |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>FACTORS DETERMINING URBAN POVERTY OF FARMING HOUSEHOLDS IN A TROPICAL REGION</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original research paper</b>                                                      |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                            | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multicollinearity test of the survey data is always important in order to ensure plausible results. Is there any econometric reason that led the author to ignore this?</li> <li>• Present the average marginal effects for all covariates in order for results to be more presentable.</li> <li>• The common practice is that, all discussions of specific results are supported by relevant and recent literature. The author should provide adequate literature to support the discussion of results in this manuscript.</li> <li>• The author should enrich the manuscript by providing a section on policy implications of the obtained results.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This has been included.</li> <li>• There was no need for marginal effect of the explanatory variables.</li> <li>• I have done this.</li> <li>• I have done this.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| <b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The researcher selected 40 households per Agricultural Development Project in the sampling procedure. However, nothing is mentioned about the size of target population. This is important in order to show that the sample size was representative.</li> <li>• Provide some key recommendations based on the results of this study.</li> <li>• The following reference lacks the year of publication:<br/><br/>"Shackleton CM, Pasquini MW and Drescher AW. African Indigenous Vegetables in Urban 345 Agriculture: Recurring Themes and Policy Lessons for</li> </ul>                                                                                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It was difficult to obtain sample frame for the study area since there are no records of farming households in the area. We feel that 40 household heads per ADP zone sampled were representative enough for any empirical investigation.</li> <li>• I have suggested policy recommendations.</li> <li>• I have included the year of publication.</li> </ul> |



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
|                                         | the Future”.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The manuscript is well written and provides important contribution to advancement of knowledge on urban poverty of farming households.</li><li>• However, it can be improved in order to enhance its quality for publication.</li></ul> |  |